

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	RY (CI)
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO):
AIRS ID#: 0250258 DA	TE: <u>12/16/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:56 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:30 AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: WI	HITE ROCK QUARRIES		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 18300 N.W. 122nd Ave	enue	
	MIAMI 33016		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: JIM	HURLEY PHONI	E: (561)793-2102
CONTACT NAME:		PHONI	Ε:
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 12/8/2005 / 12/7/201 (effective date) (end date)	0	
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u>	COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COM	IPLIANCE SIGNIFICAL	NT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II-A: AIR GENE (check R appropriate	RAL PERMITS – Rule 62-21 te box(es))	0.310, F.A.C.	
	<u>DURES – Confirmation of Eliceprecords to show that it does</u>	gibility – Rule 62-210.310(2), I	F.A.C.
a) 10 tons per year	ar or more of any hazardous air	pollutant?	
	-	ted air pollutants?	Yes No N/A
2. Does this facility		d	to the decrease when
a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3), F.A.C., or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.?;			
b) any emission units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and the air general permit of interest specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?			
	•		
1. Has the owner or	operator of this facility complet	<u>Re-registration</u> – Rule 62-210, ted and submitted the proper reg be used?;	
2. Does this facility	have a current valid air general	permit (entitlement to operate)?	;
PART II-A: <u>AIR GENERAL PERMITS</u> – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C., Cont.			
(check R appropriate		out of the feeility?	Vac No No N/A
		art of the facility?;ction, modification, or equipmen	Yes No N/A
a re-registration?-			Yes No N/A

	NERAL CONDITIONS – Rule 62-210.310(3), F.A.C. Does the air general permit registration form contain all current information regarding the facility?;	∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A		
2.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allow the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
3.	devices?; Does the owner or operator:			
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?;			
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with terms and conditions of the air general permit?;	∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A		
4.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, a to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air gen permit and Department rules?	neral		
PART	II-B: DETERMINATION OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY			
	\mathbf{R} only \mathbf{one} box)			
⊠ <u>F(</u>	DR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1))			
(If	you have checked ${R}$ this category, answer <u>all</u> questions <u>INCLUDING</u> those with **.)			
<u>Subject Facilities</u> : (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)				
FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) (If you have checked R this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.)				
Non-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crushers or grinding mills; facilities not subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; fixed sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants w/capacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.)				
	III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C. check R appropriate box(es))			
	k Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.	.С.		
	Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 Appendix A)?	0 CFR 60,		
**2.	Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any oth affected emission point:	ner		
	**a) exceed <u>7</u> % percent opacity? **b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm			
	b) exceed the particulate matter standard of v.v. grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm):		

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C., Cont. (check R appropriate box(es))	
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin exceed 7% percent opacity?	s 🗌 No
<u>Visible</u> <u>Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?	s 🗌 No
**2. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity?	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity? Yes	No 🖂 No
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point <u>NOT</u> subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than <u>20</u> % percent opacity?	s 🛭 No
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.80	0, F.A.C.
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed in a building? (<i>If answer to question #4 is YES</i> , then proceed to #4.a))	s 🗌 No
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (<i>If answer to this question is <u>NO</u>, then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).) </i>	s 🗌 No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is 1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? Yes	
2) the opacity greater than $\underline{7}\%$ percent?	s 🗌 No
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed 7/2% percent opacity?	s 🗌 No
**5. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity?	s 🗌 No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity? Yes	s 🗌 No
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening	
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin? Yes	s 🛛 No
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin	
in the production line? Yes	No No

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.		
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3, F.A.C.) 1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3.e., F.A.C.)		
Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.) 2. Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? ☐ Yes ☐ No		
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? ✓ Yes ✓ No		
<u>Test Methods and Procedures</u> – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.		
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9? 🖂 Yes 🗌 No		
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22? Yes No		
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17? Yes No		
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and		
40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]		
Facility and/or Equipment Replacement		
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility and/or equipment:		
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?		
**b) for a Screening Operation, **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation? Yes No		
**c) for a Conveyor Belt, **1)the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt? Yes No		
**d) for a Storage Bin, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?		
Performance/Compliance Testing		
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate? Yes No		
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance test?		
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar quarters?		

PART IV:	
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance w 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	
Process Changes	
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then answer either a)1) or a)2) below.</i>)	⊠ Yes □ No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system: **1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (Note: The unsaturated material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b) and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	☐ Yes ☐ No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (Note: The saturated material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(h) (If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	.)
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the change?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Notification Requirements	
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	⊠ Yes □ No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available?	⊠ Yes □ No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	⊠ Yes □ No
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.	
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable; 2) stationary; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check R only one box above.) (NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)	r all
a) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation?	☐ Yes ☐ No
b) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from deposits? (<i>If your answer to this question is <u>NO</u>, please proceed to question 1) below.</i>)	
c) If this is a <u>stationary facility</u> , does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),	

DADT V. ODED ATING DECILIDEMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY Dulo 62 210 210 EAC / Com			
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (Continued)			
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))			
**2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OC adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.</i>)	to		
**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for: **1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?			
**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	Yes No		
**b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
PART VI: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.			
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))			
 Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable (<i>Please check R only one box.</i>) 	le 🔀		
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants, located with stationary or relocatable concreted batching plants:			
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐ Yes ⊠ No		
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:			
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel			
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline			
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas	Yes No		
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane	☐ Yes ☐ No		
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite	☐ Yes ☐ No		
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No		
 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No		
 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite 3. Does the owner/operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant submitting this registration maintain a log book or books to account for fuel consumption on a monthly basis? 4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility 	 Yes		
 or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite	Yes No Yes No Yes No		
 or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite	Yes No Yes No Yes No		
 or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No		

PART VII: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CON	TROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-						
210.310(5)(e)3.c., F.A.C.							
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))							
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)							
 Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral processi emissions by: a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars locate crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop 							
b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yar1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stoc	ds, which shall include one or more of the following: k piles, and yards? Yes \sum No						
application of water or environmentally safe dust-sup emissions?	pressant chemicals when necessary to control						
 removal of particulate matter from roads and other pare-entrainment, and from building or work areas to re 	aved areas under control of the owner/operator to educe airborne particulate matter? Yes No						
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind particulate matter from stock piles?	breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of Yes No						
5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation?							
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipment t matter?							
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?							
 b) alteration of existing process equipment without replace c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differencent notification form? 	Yes ⊠ No cement? ☐ Yes ⊠ No ent than that noted on the most ☐ Yes ⊠ No						
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the own notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A local program office?	C.) to the appropriate DEP or						
FRANK DELGADO	12/16/2009						
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection						
	12/2010						
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection						

COMMENTS: KOOGLER AND ASSOCIATES CONDUCTED VISIBLE EMISSIONS OBSERVATIONS ON THE ROCK QUARRY. I WITNESSED VE TESTS ON UNITS # 102,103, 240, 246, 260 AND 261.
BOTH ROCK QARRY PLANTS (2) WERE OPERATIONAL. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISSIONS DURING THE VE TESTS.